

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL GRECCO
PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

TIKTOK, INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2:24-cv-04837-FLA-
MAR

**[PROPOSED] ORDER ON
PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO APPEAR
TELEPHONICALLY FOR THE
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF
DEADLINES HEARING**

THIS CAUSE is before the Court upon plaintiff Michael Grecco Productions, Inc. (“Plaintiff”)’s Motion to appear telephonically or via zoom at the Hearing on the Motion for Extension of Deadlines (the “Motion Hearing”) [D.E. ____]. Having considered the Motion and the record in this matter, it is ORDERED

1 AND ADJUDGED as follows:

2 1. The Motion is GRANTED. Plaintiff's counsel is permitted to attend
3 the Motion Hearing on May 14, 2025, at 11:00 a.m. via Zoom, telephonically, or
4 some other remote means.
5

6
7 DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers in Los Angeles, California this ____
8 day of _____, 2025.
9

10
11
12 _____
13 Margo A. Rocconi
14 United States Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25